

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE			
FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/23/2001	Andrew J. Pennella	PC11666ABTC	3483
0 09/17/2003			
on		EXAMINER	
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 8260-1611 Eastern Point Road		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3724	(
		DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	14
1	o 09/17/2003 on nt, MS 8260-1611	on 09/17/2003 on nt, MS 8260-1611 ad	0 09/17/2003 On EXAMI  nt, MS 8260-1611 ad 40  ART UNIT  3724

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\gamma K$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-,-,1</del> -3	
Office Action Summary	09/767,627	PENNELLA ET AL.	PENNELLA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	١.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 2 July 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation is in condition for allocations are closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	•	• •	S	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		. 1	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13 and 16-40</u> is/ar		tion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).	
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• •			
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .		

Art Unit: 3724

Ì

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's response received on 7/2/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Althaus (5,447,084).

Althaus discloses (Fig. 1-7) the invention including a plastic body 1 including a leading edge and a trailing edge, a series of guard ribs (11, 111 and 211), cutting blades 2, cutting edges 3, a guard bar 18, a cap 20 and a lubrication strip 21.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/767,627

Art Unit: 3724

1

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Althaus in view of King et al.

Althaus discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a series of depressions and projections. However, King teaches the use of a series of depressions 44 and projections 40 for the purpose of increasing the tension on the skin and releasing an amount of shaving cream to the surface being shaved. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Althaus's guard bar by providing the series of depressions and projections as taught by King in order to obtain an increase in the tension on the skin and release more an amount of shaving cream to the surface being shaved.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Althaus does not disclose "a series of guard ribs integrally molded with the plastic body". However, Althaus teaches the series of guard ribs *integrally molded* with the plastic body as per definition (to give a shape to)(see Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary 10 edition). In order to overcome the rejection, the structure of the guard ribs are formed from the same material as the body needs to be incorporated in the claims.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3724

ţ

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

September 9, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON

DRIMARY EXAMINER